

Appl. No. 10/659,544
Amdt. Dated Nov. 29, 2004
Reply to Office Action of Oct. 4, 2004

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate allowance of claims 15 and 17.

Specification Objection

The specification is objected to because of the use of non-standard grammar throughout.

Applicants have carefully amended the specification to overcome the objection.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 1-5 and 11, 12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tung, et al.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung, et al.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho, et al.

As suggested and instructed by the Examiner, applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include all limitations of allowable dependent claims 6 and 7 thereto to put the application in the position of allowance. Claims 6 and 7 have been canceled accordingly. Applicants also has amended independent claim 11 include all limitations of allowable dependent claims 12-13 thereto to put the application in the position of allowance. Claims 12 and 13 have been canceled accordingly.

Since independent claim 1 is allowable, claims 3-5 and 10 dependent from claim 1 directly or indirectly should also be allowed.

Appl. No. 10/659,544
Amdt. Dated Nov. 29, 2004
Reply to Office Action of Oct. 4, 2004

Since independent claim 11 is allowable, claim 14 dependent from claim 11 directly should also be allowed.

In view of the above specification and claim amendments and remarks, the subject application is believed to be in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Lai & Kuo

By 

Wei Te Chang

Registration No.: 43,325
Foxconn International, Inc.
P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive,
Santa Clara, CA 95050
Tel No.: (408) 919-6137